



**Student IA Evaluation for Daegyeong Kim
Department of Political Science**

POLI 12D - Intro/Poli Sci: Int'l Relations (Roeder, Philip G)
Fall 2019

**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 14
Number of Students Enrolled: 232**

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

12 (85.7%): Strongly Agree
2 (14.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

11 (78.6%): Strongly Agree
3 (21.4%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

12 (85.7%): Strongly Agree
2 (14.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

9 (64.3%): Strongly Agree
4 (28.6%): Agree
1 (7.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

12 (85.7%): Strongly Agree
2 (14.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

11 (78.6%): Strongly Agree
3 (21.4%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

12 (85.7%): Strongly Agree
2 (14.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

10 (71.4%): Strongly Agree
3 (21.4%): Agree
1 (7.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

12 (85.7%): Strongly Agree
1 (7.1%): Agree
1 (7.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

10 (71.4%): Strongly Agree
3 (21.4%): Agree
1 (7.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

12 (92.3%): No issues
0 (0.0%): Too quiet
0 (0.0%): Too loud
0 (0.0%): Too fast
0 (0.0%): Too slow
1 (7.7%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"
0 (0.0%): Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

11 (78.6%): Strongly Agree
3 (21.4%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Explained and reviewed the topics from lecture very well

- He had ideas on how to make the section most useful to us, but we had different ones. He was flexible enough to adapt the format of sections to what the students felt would be most useful and helpful. That is a great strength.
- He shows clear enthusiasm about the topic and shows he has a great understanding for the overall class. He also seems to be very happy to help every time I went and looked for assistance.
- His greatest strengths were his connection with the class's topics to the real world. Overall he created a discussion that drove conversations amongst all of us, and it was a great use of time.
- Super caring and nice and very well informed about all the topics
- Very solid understanding of the material. I kept doing the readings like a chump but you could absolutely just get by on this guy's discussion periods. He makes them easy to understand.

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Gotta pay attention and be a part of the discussion if you want to gain the most out of it, but I'm not even sure that's really a weakness on his part. More like just how discussions work.
- His only weakness was he often ran out of time at the end of sections and we could have perhaps spent more time discussing the readings.
- His weakness is being too dedicated to the job
- none that I can think of

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Good TA, highly recommend.
- I hope he does well in the future bc he really deserves it! I never met a TA that was so hard working before and cares so much .
- While attendance was mandatory, I felt DG made it worthwhile to attend section so I would do so even if I didn't have to.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Political Science, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.